

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY

Blacksburg, Virginia 24061 - 0796

DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES 4044 DERRING HALL (703) 961-6521

April 23, 1982

Dr. Mark D. Barton Geophysical Laboratory Carnegie Institution of Wahsington 2801 Upton Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20008

Dear Mark:

I am writing to make a request on behalf of your beloved alma mater, unless someone else has. For your paper on the thermodynamic prop erties of fluor-topaz, you had the F content of the Thomas Range topaz reanalyzed. Could you send me a few topaz grains from the rough material remaining after your hand picking? Our present Thomas Range topaz "microprobe standard" is an undocumented sample assumed to have the composition reported by Penfield and Minor (1894). It would be much more satisfactory to have material which has been independently checked. In addition, Todd has been investigating the fluorine spectra in a variety of compounds and a better characterized topaz would be more useful when he publishes the results.

Also, I would appreciate receiving a reprint of your paper. Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Olex Speer

J. Alexander Speer

Geophysical Laboratory

2801 UPTON STREET, N. W., WASHINGTON, D. C. 20008 (202) 966-0334

June 17, 1982

Dr. J. Alexander Speer Department of Geological Sciences V.P.I. and S.U. Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

Dear Alex,

Sorry about the delay in answering your requests. The reprints did not arrive until yesterday. I am parting with some of my precious topaz (I only have 100 grams!) solely because of my fond memories for my beloved alma mater. A couple of chips are enclosed, let me know if you would like some more! I hope that Todd can sort out some of the fluorine problems in his study; I certainly have found fluorine analyses frustrating, even in topaz.

Say hello to everyone there for me!

Best wishes,

Mark Barton

Thomas Range topaz, Utah

			wt %
SiDa	31.93	Si	0.1493
Al203	56.26	Al	0.2978
F	20.37	0	0.3507
H20+	0.19	F	0.2037
	108.75	H	0.0002
OEF	8.58		1.0017
	100.17.		
		Penfield +	Minor (1894)

ideal Alz SiO4 Fz

Si 0.1526 Al 0.2932 0 6.3477 F 0.2065 1.0000 October 25, 1982

Dr. Mark D. Barton Geophysical Laboratory Carnegie Institute of Washington 2801 Upton Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20008

Dear Mark:

Enclosed is a copy of Todd's work on the F Spectra. I have also sent you a few pieces of the topaz we had analyzed as well as its analysis. I and the analyst decided that an accurate F value would be preferred over indifferent F and H₂O values; for that reason the H₂O value is inaccurate and the preferred value (1.335 wt %) is a stoichiometric amount required to give 2(OH,F) per 6(0,OH,F).

Best regards,

J. Alexander Speer

JAS/mgs

Enclosure

The thermodynamic properties of fluor-topaz

M. D. BARTON¹

Department of the Geophysical Sciences University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637

H. T. HASELTON, JR., B. S. HEMINGWAY

U. S. Geological Survey MS 959, Reston, Virginia 22092

O. J. KLEPPA

Department of the Geophysical Sciences University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637

AND R. A. ROBIE

U. S. Geological Survey MS 959, Reston, Virginia 22092

Abstract

The standard thermodynamic properties of fluor-topaz, $Al_2SiO_4F_2$, have been calculated from low- and high-temperature heat-capacity measurements and from high-temperature, oxide-melt calorimetry. Fluor-topaz (from Topaz Mountain, Thomas Range, Utah) containing 0.04 wt. percent water was used in all the experiments. Adiabatic calorimetry performed from 10.6 to 379.2 K gives $S_{298}^\circ - S_0^\circ$ of 105.4 ± 0.2 J/mol·K. Combined heat capacities determined by adiabatic calorimetry (200–380 K) and differential scanning calorimetry (340–800 K) were fit to the following polynomial (equation valid 200–1,000 K):

 C_p° (J/mol·K) = 471.41 - 0.08165T + 1.2695×10⁶T⁻² - 5485.5T^{-0.5} (±0.7%).

The enthalpy of the reaction $CaF_2 + Al_2O_3 + SiO_2 = CaO + Al_2SiO_4F_2$ was measured at 970 K by oxide-melt calorimetry and gave $\Delta H_{970}^{\prime} = 91.88 \pm 3.56$ kJ. From our heat-capacity measurements and ancillary data, we calculate $\Delta H_{298}^{\prime} = 96.12 \pm 3.95$ kJ for the reaction and $\Delta H_{f,298}^{\prime} = -3084.45 \pm 4.70$ kJ/mol, and $\Delta G_{f,298}^{\prime} = -2910.66 \pm 4.74$ kJ/mol for fluor-topaz.

Introduction

Topaz occurs frequently as an accessory mineral in fluorine-rich granitic rocks and associated hydrothermally altered rocks. As one of the principal fluorine bearing minerals, it offers a key to understanding the genesis of these rocks. Topaz is a solid solution between fluor-topaz (Al₂SiO₄F₂) and (hypothetical) hydroxyl-topaz (Al₂SiO₄(OH)₂). Natural topazes vary from nearly pure fluor-topaz to about Al₂SiO₄F_{1.4}(OH)_{0.6}, although Rosenberg (1972) has

synthesized topaz containing more than 50% hydroxyl-topaz component.

Rosenberg (1972, 1978) reported synthesis results involving topaz solid solutions; his is the only work from which thermodynamic data might be derived. This study was undertaken to measure the thermodynamic properties of fluor-topaz as part of a project on the thermochemistry of topaz and other fluoro-silicates and their petrological application.

Experimental methods and results

Starting materials

Natural fluor-topaz from Topaz Mountain, Thomas Range, Juab County, Utah, was collected

¹Present address: Geophysical Laboratory, Carnegie Institution of Washington, 2801 Upton Street, NW, Washington, D. C. 20008.

from the Pliocene topaz-rich alkali rhyolites (Lindsey, 1979). This topaz is known to be very close to the fluorine end member (Penfield and Minor, 1894; Ribbe and Rosenberg, 1971) and occurs as crystals as large as 3 cm in the rhyolite lithophysae. Associated minerals include quartz, sanidine, plagioclase, biotite, fluorite, beryl, hematite, pseudobrookite, spessartine, and bixbyite (Ream, 1979). About 60 g of transparent, inclusion-free crystals and cleavage fragments were handpicked from a few hundred grams of rough material. Approximately one-third of the crystals were light brown; this color is apparently caused by electronic defect centers (Dickinson and Moore, 1967), not chemical impurities. As previously demonstrated (e.g., Nassau and Prescott, 1975), the color is removed by heating to 500°C for several hours. We did not heat treat the material used for calorimetry. We did not attempt to synthesize fluor-topaz by the reaction 2AlF₃ + $2Al_2O_3 + 3SiO_2 = 3Al_2SiO_4F_2$ nor did we attempt to use this reaction for the solution calorimetry because of the tendency of AlF3 to hydrolyze which could result in considerable uncertainty as to the compositions of the phases under study.

Several crystals were analyzed on an automated electron microprobe at the University of Chicago using a ZAF correction program written by I. M. Steele of the University of Chicago. The beam current was 15 ma at an accelerating voltage of 15 kv. Andalusite served as the standard for aluminum and silicon. The water and fluroine were analyzed at the U.S. Geological Survey with a Perkin Elmer 240B elemental analyzer (V2O5 flux) and a specific ion electrode respectively. The water and fluorine analyses on this material are in good agreement with the original analyses by Penfield and Minor (1894). Although we searched for other elements, none were detected. Crystallographic data were collected using powder-diffraction methods (Ni-filtered $CuK\alpha$ radiation with a corundum internal standard a = 0.47593(1)nm, c = 1.29917(5)nm). The program of Burnham (1962) was used to refine the data. The chemical and crystallographic data are given in Table 1.

Other materials used in the high-temperature oxide-melt calorimetry were CaO (prepared from reagent CaCO₃, sintered at 1,400°C for 1 week); optically pure natural CaF₂ (southern Illinois, University of Chicago collection #1875); optically pure natural quartz (locality unknown, University of Chicago collection #2099); and α -Al₂O₃ (prepared from reagent Al(OH)₃, fired at 1,300°C for 2 days).

Adiabatic calorimetry

Heat-capacity measurements were made from 10.6 to 379.2 K by adiabatic calorimetry at the U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia. The cryostat and calorimeter have been described in detail by Robie and Hemingway (1972) and Robie *et al.* (1976).

The topaz sample (50.5654 g, corrected for buoyancy) was loaded into the calorimeter. After evacuation of air from the calorimeter, it was backfilled with dry helium gas at 6×10^3 pascals pressure $(4.0\times10^{-5}$ mole of He) to promote thermal equilibration, and sealed. The reported temperatures refer to the International Practical Temperature Scale of 1968 (IPTS-68).

Table 2 gives the results, corrected for curvature. for the four series (1-4) of experiments in their order of collection. The formula weight for pure fluor-topaz of 184.043 g/mol used in the calculations is based on the 1975 values for the atomic weights (Commission on Atomic Weights, 1976). Although the sample topaz contains 0.04 weight percent OH, no correction was made to the measured heat capacities because the heat capacity of hydroxyltopaz is not known. From comparisons of the heat capacities of hydroxyl-apatite and fluor-apatite, and those of hydroxyl-phlogopite and fluorphlogopite, we estimate that the difference in the heat capacity between fluor- and hydroxyl-topaz would not exceed approximately 7 percent. The difference in S°298-S°0 between hydroxyl-apatite and fluor-apatite is 0.6 percent, and between hydroxyl-phlogopite and fluor-phlogopite is -0.5 percent. On the basis

Table 1. Chemical and crystallographic data

	Chemistry*	Cell Parameters	
	Oxide wt %	Moles**	a = 0.46475(3) nm
A1 ₂ 0 ₃	56.08	1.00	b = 0.87897(4) nm
\$102	32.74	0.99	c = 0.83920(4) nm
F	20.3	1.95	$V = 0.34281(2) \text{ nm}^3$
H ₂ 0	0.04	0.01	
Total	109.16		
Less F = 0	100.61		

^{*} Al and Si analyses by microprobe, F by specific ion electrode, and H₂O by CHN elemental analyzer.

^{**} Based on 5 oxygens.

[†] As hydroxyl.

Table 3. Enthalpy of solution measurements

Material	ΔH§70 kJ	Experimental data in chronological order ΔHg70 (kJ/mol); Sample wt (mg) in parentheses
Al ₂ SiO ₄ F ₂	76.57 <u>+</u> 1.67*	74.74(29.88), 74.21(44.77), 79.68(41.84), 80.16(47.96) 72.46(41.28), 78.64(35.32), 80.39(50.80), 71.54(28.32) 74.56(37.60), 78.04(42.71), 78.88(36.29), 79.53(24.50)
Ca0	-55.06 <u>+</u> 4.10	-62.10(13.78), -57.59(12.71), -54.30(10.44), -45.68(15.11) -49.97(16.22), -64.68(14.50), -63.32(13.10), -48.54(15.44) -60.33(13.06), -50.11(13.99), -49.02(14.85)
CaO + Al ₂ SiO ₄ F ₂	29.83 <u>+</u> 3.05	20.96(29.58), 29.19(26.69), 38.67(34.10), 31.67(56.75) 29.01(50.10), 26.60(47.48), 28.81(66.64), 39.10(50.63) 29.48(45.00), 23.11(53.18), 32.37(73.24), 29.25(44.86)
$CaF_2 + Al_2O_3 + SiO_2$	121.71 <u>+</u> 1.80	121.33(30.00), 122.31(30.74), 124.72(36.39), 118.52(30.50) 121.21(30.50), 127.65(38.90), 122.20(40.78), 120.26(46.13) 120.35(40.79), 117.79(39.05), 118.32(40.45)
addition of CaO to Al ₂ SiO ₄ F ₂	21.51 + 4.44	
CaF ₂ + A1 ₂ 0 ₃ + Si0 ₂	= CaO + Al ₂ SiO ₄ F ₂	$\Delta H_{970}^{**} = 91.88 \pm 3.56 \text{ kJ}$ $\Delta H_{298}^{2} = 96.12 \pm 3.95 \text{ kJ}$

Two standard errors of the mean; errors are propagated assuming they are independent; possible systematic errors have been neglected.

** Using the value for the mechanical mix of CaO + Al2SiO4F2; see text.

Pb2B2O5 glass from a single homogeneous batch was used in all the experiments. The topaz, CaO, Al₂O₃, SiO₂, and CaF₂ were sized to -150 to +350 mesh and kept in a desiccator.

A 2 month exposure to air in the desiccator reduced the heat of solution of CaO by about 10 percent, apparently because of the formation of small amounts of Ca(OH)2 and/or CaCO3. Brief heating to 1,300°C before each experiment eliminated this perturbation. The final value obtained for $\Delta H_{\rm soln}$ at 970 K of CaO in this study (-55.06 kJ/mol) agrees very well with that reported by Newton et al. (1977) (-55.15 kJ/mol). None of the other materials showed a time dependence in their heat effects. In addition, consecutive measurements on the same material in a given batch of melt showed no significant variation with increasing concentration of solute. In no series of experiments,

however, was more than 200 mg of material dissolved in any given 30.5 g batch of melt.

Two different values were obtained for the heat of solution of the Al₂SiO₄F₂ + CaO. The linear combination of the heat of solution of Al₂SiO₄F₂ and the heat of solution of CaO (21.5±4.44 kJ/mol) differs significantly from the heat of solution for the mechanical mixture (29.83±3.05 kJ/mol). Consecutive dissolution experiments of stoichiometric proportions of Al2SiO4F2 followed by pure CaO in the same alliquot of melt confirmed the mechanicalmixture value. Therefore, the value of the mechanical mixture is used in the evaluation of the enthalpy of fluor-topaz. We have considered the effect of systematic errors, including those resulting from hydrolysis (of topaz, CaF2, CaO), reaction of the mechanical mixture before the experiments, and impurities (e.g., 0.04 percent H₂O in the topaz). is 0.7 percent. This equation is considered to be accurate to within 1.4 percent to 1,000 K. Above $1,050 \text{ K} (dC_p/dT) < 0$ so this equation should not be used for extrapolation to higher temperatures. The thermodynamic properties at 298.15 K (Table 5) were derived given the heat of reaction (1), the ancillary data for the other phases (taken from Robie *et al.*, 1979), and the entropy and heat capacities obtained here. The uncertainty in the enthalpy and Gibbs free energy arises mostly from the uncertainty in the heat of solution of the CaO + $Al_2SiO_4F_2$ mixture.

Acknowledgments

MDB has been supported by a National Science Foundation (NSF) graduate fellowship and by a McCormick Fellowship at the University of Chicago. The high-temperature solution calorimeter was constructed with support from NSF grant DMR78-11567 to OJK. NSF grant EAR78-13675 to Julian R. Goldsmith (University of Chicago) provided additional support. We appreciate helpful reviews by J. J. Hemley and G. R. Robinson, Jr. of the U. S. Geological Survey and by H. R. Westrich and A. Navrotsky of Arizona State University who also provided us with a preprint of some of their recent work on fluorosilicate calorimetry.

References

Jurnham, C. W. (1962) Lattice constant refinement. Carnegie Institution of Washington Yearbook, 61, 132-135.

Charlu, T. V., Newton, R. C. and Kleppa, O. J. (1978) Enthalpy of formation of some lime silicates by high-temperature solution calorimetry, with discussion of high pressure phase equilibria. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 42, 367–376.

Commission on Atomic Weights (1976) Atomic weights of the elements 1975. Pure and Applied Chemistry, 47, 75–95.

Dickinson, A. C. and Moore, W. J. (1967) Paramagnetic resonance of metal ions and defect centers in topaz. Journal of Physical Chemistry, 71, 231–240.

Ditmars, D. A. and Douglas, T. B. (1971) Measurements of the relative enthalpy of pure α-Al₂O₃ (NBS heat capacity and enthalpy standard reference material no. 720) from 273 to 1123 K. U. S. National Bureau of Standards Journal of Research, 75A, 401–420.

Haas, J. L. and Fisher, J. R. (1976) Simultaneous evaluation and correlation of thermodynamic data. American Journal of Science, 276, 525-545.

Krupka, K. M., Robie, R. A. and Hemingway, B. S. (1979) High-temperature heat capacities of corundum, periclase, anorthite, CaAl₂Si₂O₈ glass, muscovite, pyrophyllite, KAlSi₃O₈ glass, grossular, and NaAlSi₃O₈ glass. American Mineralogist, 64, 86–101.

Lindsey, D. A. (1979) Preliminary report on Tertiary volcanism and uranium mineralization in the Thomas Range and northern Drum Mountains, Juab County, Utah. U. S. Geological Survey Open-file Rept. 79–1076.

Nassau, K. and Prescott, B. E. (1975) Blue and brown topaz produced by gamma irradiation. American Mineralogist, 60,

Newton, R. C., Charlu, T. V. Anderson, P. A. M. and Kleppa, O. J. (1977) Thermochemistry of high pressure garnets and clinopyroxenes in the system CaO-MgO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 41, 369-377.

Penfield, S. L. and Minor, Jr., J. C. (1894) On the chemical composition and related physical properties of topaz. American Journal of Science, 3rd Series, 47, 387-396.

Ream, L. R. (1979) The Thomas Range, Wah Wah Mountains, and vicinity, western Utah. Mineralogical Record, 10, 261-278.

Ribbe, P. H. and Rosenberg, P. E. (1971) Optical and X-ray determinative methods for fluorine in topaz. American Mineralogist, 56, 1812–1821.

Robie, R. A. and Hemingway, B. S. (1972) Calorimeters for heat of solution and low-temperature heat capacity measurements. U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 755.

Robie, R. A., Hemingway, B. S. and Fisher, J. R. (1979) Thermodynamic properties of minerals and related substances at 298.15 K and 1 bar (10⁵ Pascals) pressure and at higher temperatures. U. S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1452 (revised).

Robie, R. A., Hemingway, B. S., and Wilson, W. H. (1976) The heat capacities of Calorimetry Conference copper and of muscovite KAl₂(AlSi₃)O₁₀(OH)₂, pyrophyllite Al₂Si₄O₁₀ (OH)₂, and illite K₃(Al₇Mg) (Si₁₄Al₂)O₄₀(OH)₈ between 15 and 375 K and their standard entropies at 298.15 K. U.S. Geological Survey Journal of Research, 4, 631–644.

Rosenberg, P. E. (1972) Compositional variations in synthetic topaz. American Mineralogist, 57, 168–187.

Rosenberg, P. E. (1978) Fluorine-hydroxyl exchange in topaz. (abstr.) Transactions American Geophysical Union, EOS, 59, 1218.

Westrich, H. R. and Navrotsky A. (1981) Some thermodynamic properties of fluorapatite, fluorpargasite, and fluorphlogopite. American Journal of Science, 281, 1091-1103.

Manuscript received, August 20, 1981; accepted for publication, November 24, 1981.